



CHARLES A. MUSERLIAN  
DONALD C. LUCAS  
MICHAEL N. MERCANTI

SAPNA D. GADHIA  
OF COUNSEL  
OTHO B. ROSS  
LAURENCE MANBER, Ph.D.  
NJ ONLY

# MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

600 THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, NY 10016

February 11, 2003

DT Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 FEB 2003  
TELEPHONE  
(212) 661-8000+  
TELECOPIERS  
G3 Fax: (212) 661-8002  
G4 Fax: (212) 867-0054  
E-MAIL  
info@mlmpatent.us  
URL: <http://www.mlmpatent.us>

Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: Deposit Account No. 02-2275

Sir:

The undersigned acknowledges receipt of the December 31, 2002 statement for the above account and there are errors in the same.

Under control Nos. 3 and 4 on December 2, 2002, there were charges for fee code 1613 and 1615 for \$890.00 and \$18.00, respectively for application Serial No. 10/168,116. This is incorrect since the PTO Form-2038 was filed with the application for \$1,058.00 which was the correct filing fee. A copy of the 2038 form is enclosed for the Patent Office's convenience. Therefore, the account should be credited for \$908.00 on this transaction.

On December 9, 2002 under control No. 12 for U.S. trademark Registration No. 1,468,923, there was a fee code charge 8521 for \$40.00 for the registration which was incorrect. This was charged to the firm's American Express account as can be seen from a copy of the 2038 form filed herewith. Therefore, the account should be credited for \$40.00.

On December 9, 2002 under control No. 16, there was a charge against U.S. patent application Serial No. 10/221,432 for fee code 1616 of \$200.00. This was an incorrect charge since there were no multiple dependent claims in the application as filed. There was a preliminary amendment filed with the application which removed the multiple dependencies as can be seen from the copy of the return receipt card filed herewith. Therefore, the account should be credited for \$280.00.


On December 9, 2002 under control No. 35, there was a charge against U.S. patent application Serial No. 10/274,520 under fee code 8021 for \$40.00 for a recording fee. This was an incorrect charge since it was charged to the American Express credit card account as can be seen from the copy of the 2038 form enclosed herewith. Therefore, it is requested that the account be credited for \$40.00.

On December 30, 2002 under control No. 46, there was a charge against U.S. patent application Serial No. 10/328,084 for fee code 001 of \$370.00. This fee was supposed to be charged to Mr. Rosner's Visa account as can be seen from the handwritten page submitted herewith.

In summary, the above account should be credited for \$1,268.00.

With best wishes, we are,

Yours very truly,  
Muserlian, Lucas and Mercanti

  
Charles A. Muserlian

CAM:ds  
Enclosures

September 10, 2002

CAM:sd

427.067

In re Application of:

HARNETT et al

PCT/FR01/00764

Serial No.:

Filed: Concurrently Herewith

For: NOVEL...AS MEDICINES

PCT Date: March 16, 2000

Received: PCT Transmittal Forms in duplicate; Copy of International Application; English Translation of PCT; executed PCT Declaration; Executed Assignment & Assignment Recordation Sheet; Information Disclosure Statement w/ International Search Report, 6 references & PTO Form 1449; PCT/IB/332; Preliminary Amendment; Certificate of Express Mail; PTO Form 2038

10/22/432

DTOS RECEIVED PTO 10 SEP 2002